

EPA-600/R-98-146
November 1998

Final Report



PB99-118309

THE APPLICATION OF POLLUTION PREVENTION TECHNIQUES TO REDUCE INDOOR AIR EMISSIONS FROM ENGINEERED WOOD PRODUCTS

By

Cybele M. Brockmann, Linda S. Sheldon,
Don A. Whitaker, and Jesse N. Baskir
Research Triangle Institute
3040 Cornwallis Road
P.O. Box 12194
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194

EPA Cooperative Agreement No. 822002

EPA Project Officers: Kelly W. Leovic and Elizabeth M. Howard
Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

Prepared for

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development
Washington, DC 20460

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA

(Please read Instructions on the reverse before complete)



PB99-118309

1. REPORT NO. EPA-600/R-98-146		2.	3.
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Application of Pollution Prevention Techniques to Reduce Indoor Air Emissions from Engineered Wood Products		5. REPORT DATE November 1998	
7. AUTHOR(S) C. M. Brockmann, L. S. Sheldon, D. A. Whitaker, and J. N. Baskir		6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Research Triangle Institute P. O. Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS EPA, Office of Research and Development Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division Research Triangle Park, NC 27711		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	
		11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO. CR822002	
		13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Final; 10/93 - 1/98	
		14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE EPA/600/13	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES APPCD project officers are Kelly W. Leovic and Elizabeth M. Howard, Mail Drop 54, 919/541-7717.			
16. ABSTRACT The report gives results of an investigation of pollution prevention options to reduce indoor emissions from a type of finished engineered wood. Emissions were screened from four types of finished engineered wood: oak-veneered particleboard coated and cured with a heat-curable, acid-catalyzed alkyd-urea sealer and topcoat (PBVST); oak-veneered handboard coated and cured with a stain, and a heat-curable, acid-catalyzed alkyd-urea sealer and topcoat (HBVSST); particleboard overlaid with vinyl (PBVY); and particleboard overlaid with melamine (PBM). Within the scope of the emissions and performance tests of the study, three types of coatings were found to have significantly lower emission factors of summed volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and formaldehyde relative to those for the heat-curable, acid-catalyzed alkyd-urea coatings: a two-component waterborne polyurethane, an ultraviolet (UV)-curable acrylate, and a UV-and-heat-curable multi-functional acrylate-free emulsion. Three types of engineered fiber panels were identified as having significantly lower emission factors of summed VOCs and formaldehyde relative to those for particleboard; medium-density fiberboard made with methylene diisocyanate (MDI), a wheat-board panel made with MDI resin, and a panel made from recycled corrugated cardboard. All three fiber panels are in the market place.			
17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS			
a. DESCRIPTORS		b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
Pollution	Volatility	Pollution Prevention	13B 20M
Emission	Formaldehyde	Stationary Sources	14G
Wood Products	Polyurethane Resins	Indoor Air	11L 11I, 11J
Particle Boards	Paperboards	Engineered Wood Products	11G 11A
Sealers	Melamines	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	11C 07C
Coatings	Acrylates		
Organic Compounds			
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Release to Public		19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report) Unclassified	21. NO. OF PAGES 198
		20. SECURITY CLASS (This page) Unclassified	22. PRICE

NOTICE

This document has been reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

PROTECTED UNDER INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHT
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Reproduced from
best available copy.



FOREWORD

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is charged by Congress with protecting the Nation's land, air, and water resources. Under a mandate of national environmental laws, the Agency strives to formulate and implement actions leading to a compatible balance between human activities and the ability of natural systems to support and nurture life. To meet this mandate, EPA's research program is providing data and technical support for solving environmental problems today and building a science knowledge base necessary to manage our ecological resources wisely, understand how pollutants affect our health, and prevent or reduce environmental risks in the future.

The National Risk Management Research Laboratory is the Agency's center for investigation of technological and management approaches for reducing risks from threats to human health and the environment. The focus of the Laboratory's research program is on methods for the prevention and control of pollution to air, land, water, and subsurface resources; protection of water quality in public water systems; remediation of contaminated sites and groundwater; and prevention and control of indoor air pollution. The goal of this research effort is to catalyze development and implementation of innovative, cost-effective environmental technologies; develop scientific and engineering information needed by EPA to support regulatory and policy decisions; and provide technical support and information transfer to ensure effective implementation of environmental regulations and strategies.

This publication has been produced as part of the Laboratory's strategic long-term research plan. It is published and made available by EPA's Office of Research and Development to assist the user community and to link researchers with their clients.

E. Timothy Oppelt, Director
National Risk Management Research Laboratory

Abstract

The objective of this research was to investigate pollution prevention options to reduce indoor emissions from a type of finished engineered wood. Emissions were screened from four types of finished engineered wood: oak-veneered particleboard coated and cured with a heat curable acid catalyzed alkyd-urea sealer and topcoat (PBVST); oak-veneered hardboard coated and cured with a stain, and a heat curable acid catalyzed alkyd-urea sealer and topcoat (HBVSST); particleboard overlaid with vinyl (PBVY); and particleboard overlaid with melamine (PBM). The PBVST and HBVSST had substantially higher initial emission factors of summed volatile organic compounds (VOCs) relative to those for PBVY and PBM. The PBVST and HBVSST also had higher decay emission factors of formaldehyde relative to the initial emission factors of formaldehyde for PBVY and PBM.

The acid catalyzed alkyd-urea coatings and particleboard were identified as sources of VOCs from the PBVST. A coatings study was conducted to evaluate emissions and performance properties of potentially low-emitting substitutes for the acid catalyzed alkyd-urea coatings. Within the scope of the emissions and performance tests of the study, three types of coatings were found to have significantly lower emission factors of summed VOCs and formaldehyde relative to those for the heat curable acid catalyzed alkyd-urea coatings; these included a two component waterborne polyurethane; a UV curable acrylate; and a UV and heat curable multi-functional acrylate-free emulsion. These coatings also had comparable performance characteristics to the heat curable acid catalyzed alkyd-urea coatings. All three wood coatings are currently available in the market place.

A fiber study was conducted to evaluate emissions of potentially low-emitting engineered fiber panels. Three types of engineered fiber panels were identified as having significantly lower emission factors of summed VOCs and formaldehyde relative to those for particleboard; these included medium density fiberboard made with methylene diisocyanate resin (MDI); a wheatboard panel made with MDI resin; and a panel made from recycled corrugated cardboard. All three fiber panels are in the market place and are used to construct a wide variety of interior products.

Table of Contents

Chapter	Page
Abstract	ii
Figures	vi
Tables	viii
Acronyms	ix
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Engineered Wood Products	2
1.3 Research	3
2.0 Results	5
2.1 Overview	5
2.2 Phase 1	7
2.2.1 Screening Tests	7
2.2.2 Quantitative Decay Tests	10
2.3 Phase 2	10
2.4 Phase 3	14
2.4.1 Coatings Evaluation	14
2.4.2 Fiber Panel Study	18
2.4.2.1 Emission Tests	19
2.4.2.2 Performance Characteristics	21
3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	24
4.0 Phase 1 Screening Study	26
4.1 Overview	26
4.2 Objectives	26
4.3 Experimental Design	26
4.3.1 Sample Collection	26
4.3.2 Chamber Air Collection	28
4.3.3 VOCs Collection	30
4.3.4 Analysis of VOCs	30
4.3.4.1 Analysis of VOCs on Multisorbent Cartridges	30
4.3.4.2 Analysis of VOCs on DNPH Cartridges	32
4.3.4.3 Conversion of Concentrations to Emission Factors	34
4.4 Results	34
4.5 Conclusions	37
5.0 Phase 2 Component Study	40
5.1 Overview	40
5.2 Objectives	40
5.3 Experimental Design	40
5.3.1 Sample Collection	40
5.3.2 Chamber Air Sampling	41

Table of Contents (Continued)

Chapter	Page
5.3.3	VOCs Collection 41
5.3.4	Analysis of VOCs 42
5.3.4.1	Analysis of VOCs on Multisorbent Cartridges 42
5.3.4.2	Analysis of Aldehydes and Ketones on DNPH Cartridges ... 42
5.3.4.3	Conversion of Concentrations to Emission Factors 44
5.4	Results 45
5.5	Conclusions 48
6.0	Phase 3 Coatings Study 49
6.1	Overview 49
6.2	Objectives 49
6.3	Experimental Design 50
6.3.1	Collection and Preparation of Coupons for Coatings Optimization Trials and Performance Tests (Steps 1 and 2) 51
6.3.2	Coatings Optimization Trials (Step 3) 51
6.3.3	Performance Tests (Step 4) 54
6.3.4	Collection and Preparation of Coupons for Coatings Applications (Steps 5 and 6) 55
6.3.5	Coatings Applications (Step 7) 56
6.3.6	Receipt, Storage, and Chamber Air Sampling (Steps 8 through 10) ... 56
6.3.7	Collection of VOCs 58
6.3.7.1	Analysis of VOCs on Multisorbent Cartridges (Step 11) 58
6.3.7.2	Analysis of Aldehydes and Ketones on DNPH Cartridges ... 63
6.3.7.3	Conversion of Concentrations to Emission Factors 64
6.3.8	Statistical Analysis of Emission Factors Data (Step 12) 65
6.4	Results 67
6.4.1	Performance Tests 67
6.4.2	Emission Tests 68
6.5	Conclusions 73
7.0	Phase 3 Fiber Panel Study 78
7.1	Overview 78
7.2	Objective 79
7.3	Experimental Design 79
7.3.1	Collection of Products 79
7.3.2	Preparation of Test Squares 80
7.3.3	Chamber Air Collection 80
7.3.3.1	VOCs Collection 81
7.3.4	Analysis of VOCs 81
7.3.4.1	Analysis of VOCs on Multisorbent Cartridges 81
7.3.4.2	Analysis of VOCs on DNPH Cartridges 83
7.3.4.3	Conversion of Concentrations to Emission Factors 85
7.3.5	Statistical Analysis of Emission Factors Data 85

Table of Contents (Continued)

Chapter	Page
7.4	Results 86
7.4.1	Emission Data 86
7.4.1.1	Emission Data of Unfinished Test Squares 86
7.4.1.2	Emission Data of Finished Test Squares 89
7.5	Conclusions and Recommendations 92
8.0	Data Quality 94
8.1	Overview 94
8.2	QAPPs 94
8.3	Data Quality Indicator Goals 94
8.3.1	Precision 94
8.3.2	Accuracy 95
8.4	Quality Control 95
8.5	Inspections, Audits, and Data Reviews 95
References 97
Appendix A - Phase One Screening Study A-1
Appendix B - Phase Two Component Study B-1
Appendix C - Phase Three Coatings Study C-1
Appendix D - Phase Three Fiber Panel Study D-1
Appendix E - Precision of Chamber Air Concentrations E-1
Appendix F - Accuracy Calculations F-1
Appendix G - Names and Addresses of Coatings and Fiber Panel Participants G-1

List of Figures

Figure	Page
2-1 Emissions test chamber	6
2-2 Estimated emission factors of summed VOCs for test squares of finished engineered wood conditioned for six hours	8
2-3 Estimated emission factors of aldehydes and ketones for test squares of finished engineered wood conditioned for six hours	9
2-4 Quantitated emission factors of formaldehyde for test squares of finished engineered wood conditioned for 31-days	11
2-5 Quantitated emission factors of summed VOCs for test squares of components of finished engineered wood conditioned for 31 days	12
2-6 Quantitated emission factors of aldehydes and ketones for test squares of components of finished engineered wood conditioned for 31-days	13
2-7 Estimated emission factors of TVOC and formaldehyde for test squares of engineered panels conditioned 26 to 30 days	20
2-8 Estimated emission factors of TVOC and formaldehyde for unfinished and finished test squares of recycled corrugated cardboard conditioned 26 to 28 days	22
2-9 Estimated emission factors of TVOC and formaldehyde for unfinished and finished wheatboard conditioned approximately 28 days	23
4-1 Sample collection for Phase 1	27
4-2 Emissions test chamber	29
4-3 Estimated emission factors of summed VOCs for test squares of finished engineered wood conditioned for six hours	35
4-4 Estimated emission factors of aldehydes and ketones for test squares of finished engineered wood conditioned for six hours	36
4-5 Quantitated emission factors of formaldehyde for test squares of finished engineered wood conditioned for 31-days	38
5-1 Sample collection of components	40
5-2 Quantitated emission factors of summed VOCs for test squares of components of finished engineered wood conditioned for 31-days	46
5-3 Quantitated emission factors of aldehydes and ketones for test squares of components of finished engineered wood conditioned for 31-days	47
6-1 Steps one through four of experimental design	52
6-2 Steps five through ten of experimental design	52
6-3 Drawdown bar	53
6-4 Application of coating with drawdown bar	53
6-5 Close-up of drawdown bar	53
6-6 Mustard and stain tests	55
6-7 Adhesion test	55
6-8 Gloss checker	55
6-9 Durometer for measuring hardness	55

List of Figures (Continued)

Figure		Page
6-10	Example of how Board A was labeled and divided into coupons (drawing not to scale)	57
6-11	Conditioning chambers	59
6-12	Four of six emission factors test chambers	59
7-1	Estimated emission factors of TVOC and formaldehyde for test squares of engineered panels conditioned less than 24 hours	87
7-2	Estimated emission factors of TVOC and formaldehyde for test squares of engineered panels conditioned 26 to 30 days	88
7-3	Estimated emission factors of TVOC and formaldehyde for unfinished and finished test squares of recycled corrugated cardboard conditioned 26 to 28 days	91
7-4	Estimated emission factors of TVOC and formaldehyde for unfinished and finished wheatboard conditioned approximately 28 days.	92

List of Tables

Table	Page
2-1	Selected Coatings Systems 14
2-2	Performance Tests of Coatings Systems 16
2-3	Quantitated Mean Emission Factors for Uncoated and Coated Test Squares Conditioned for 28 Days 17
2-4	Selected Engineered Panels 19
2-5	Finished Engineered Fiber Panels Selected for Screening 19
4-1	Conditions For Chamber Testing for Screening and Decay Tests 28
4-2	GC/MS Operating Conditions For Analysis of VOCs 31
4-3	Target Aldehydes and Ketones 32
4-4	HPLC Operating Conditions for the Analysis of Aldehyde Emission Factors 33
5-1	Conditions For Chamber Testing 41
5-2	GC/FID Operating Conditions For Analysis of VOC 43
5-3	Target Aldehydes and Ketones 43
5-4	HPLC Operating Conditions for the Analysis of Aldehyde Emission Factors 44
6-1	Coatings Systems Evaluated 49
6-2	Number of Coupons Coated and Reserved as Field Coupons 56
6-3	Conditions For Chamber Testing 60
6-4	Number of Chamber Air Samples Collected 60
6-5	GC/MS Operating Conditions For Analysis of VOC 61
6-6	Target Aldehydes and Ketones 63
6-7	HPLC Operating Conditions for the Analysis of Aldehyde Emission Factors 64
6-8	ANOVA for Objective One 66
6-9	ANOVA for Objective Two 66
6-10	Performance Tests Results 68
6-11	Quantitated Mean Emission Factors from Uncoated and Coated Test Squares Conditioned for 28 Days 70
6-12	P-Values of Mean Emission Factors of Select Compounds 71
6-13	Organic Compounds Listed on MSDS vs. Compounds Detected During Emissions Tests 74
7-1	Selected Engineered Panels 78
7-2	Finished Engineered Fiber Panels Selected for Screening 79
7-3	Conditions for Chamber Testing 80
7-4	GC/MS Operating Conditions For Analysis of VOCs 82
7-5	Target Aldehydes and Ketones 84
7-6	HPLC Operating Conditions for the Analysis of Aldehyde Emission Factors 84
7-7	ANOVA for Statistical Analysis 86
7-8	P-values for Mean Emission Factors of TVOC from Test Squares Conditioned 26 to 30 Days 90
7-9	P-values for Mean Emission Factors of Formaldehyde from Test Squares conditioned 26 to 30 Days 90
8-1	Data Quality Indicator Goals for Chamber Air Concentrations 94
8-2	Inspections, Audits, and Data Reviews 96